EHA2025 Congress June 12-15 | Milan, Italy



BRIDGING THE GAP: INOTUZUMAB INTEGRATION PRIOR TO TALICABTAGENE AUTOLEUCEL IN R/R B-ALL

Anupa John¹ Alok Shetty¹ Devanshi Kalra² Smrithi Ravikumar² Lingaraj Nayak¹ Bhausaheb Bagal¹ Anjali Jaiswal² Yuktam Yadav² Neha Sharma¹ Thomas Eipe¹ Nirali Chandan² Atharva Karulkar² Rahul Purwar² Hasmukh Jain¹ Manju Sengar¹

¹Tata Memorial Centre, Mumbai, India, ²Immunoadoptive Cell Therapy Private Limited (ImmunoACT), Navi Mumbai, India



INTRODUCTION

- •Tali-cel (Talicabatgene autoleucel) is a CD19 CAR-T cell product showing promise in relapsed/refractory (r/r) B-ALL.(1)
- Disease burden at infusion impacts long-term efficacy and safety.
- •Inotuzumab ozogamicin is a CD22-directed antibody-drug conjugate effective in reducing disease burden.(2)
- Concerns include **hepatotoxicity** and potential interference with **CAR- T expansion**.(2)

AIM

To evaluate the **safety, efficacy, and feasibility** of inotuzumab-based regimens prior to Tali-cel infusion in r/r B-ALL patients.

Improve CAR-T outcomes without consolidative allogeneic stem-cell transplant (alloSCT).

METHOD

Study Design

This was a **retrospective analysis** conducted at **Tata Memorial Hospital, Mumbai** between **November 2023 and January 2025**. The study included patients aged ≥**15 years** with **relapsed/refractory B-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia** (**r/r B-ALL**) who were registered to receive **Tali-cel**, a CD19-directed CAR-T cell therapy, as part of the institutional standard-of-care protocol.

Subgroup Focus

Patients who received inotuzumab ozogamicin as salvage or bridging therapy prior to Tali-cel infusion were further evaluated:

- Baseline disease characteristics
- Inotuzumab treatment specifics (dose, timing, response, toxicities)
- CAR-T dose and administration
- Post-CAR-T response and toxicities
- •B-cell aplasia duration as a surrogate for CAR-T persistence

Definitions & Response Criteria

- •Response Assessment: Based on ELN 2024 criteria
- •Minimal Residual Disease (MRD): Evaluated using 10-color flow cytometry, with a sensitivity of 10⁻⁴ cells
- •Toxicity Grading:
- CRS, ICANS, IEC-HS: Graded per ASTCT consensus criteria
- Other adverse events: Graded using CTCAE version 5

Statistical Analysis

- •Descriptive statistics were used to summarize:
- Baseline characteristics
- Treatment responses
- Toxicity profiles
- •Survival analysis:
- Performed using the Kaplan–Meier method
- Key endpoints: Overall survival (OS) and Event-free survival (EFS)

RESULTS

Out of 73 patients with B-ALL registered for tali-cel therapy, 56 (77%) underwent apheresis and 46 (63%) received the CAR-T cell infusion. Inotuzumab-based regimens were used in 32 patients (44%), primarily as salvage (31%), bridging (25%), or both (44%). Among these, 78% (25/32) proceeded to receive tali-cel infusion.

At the time of tali-cel infusion, the disease burden was significantly reduced:

- . Baseline median disease burden: 3% (range: 0–96%)
- . **At infusion**: 2% (range: 1–74%)

The median cumulative dose of inotuzumab administered before infusion was **0.6 mg/m²** (range: 0.3–3.0), with the **last** dose given a median of 26 days (range: 17–86) before infusion. Mini-Hyper CVD was the most common concurrent chemotherapy, used in 66% (21/32) of inotuzumab-treated patients.

In Philadelphia chromosome-positive (Ph+) ALL (n = 9), tyrosine kinase inhibitors were permitted:

- . **Ponatinib**: 80% (4/5)
- . **Dasatinib**: 20% (1/5)

Pre-Infusion Assessment (n = 25)

- . Complete response (CR): 84% (21/25)
- . MRD clearance: 64% (16/25)

Post-Tali-cel Infusion Outcomes (n = 25)

- . **CR after infusion**: 88% (22/25)
- . MRD negative after infusion: 88% (22/25)
- . Median follow-up: 6 months (range: 1–11 months)
- 6-month overall survival (OS): 84%
- . 6-month progression-free survival (PFS): 80%
- . Median OS/PFS: Not reached
- . No patients underwent allogeneic stem cell transplantation (allo-SCT) as consolidation

Tali-cel therapy was generally well tolerated, although infections and immune-related toxicities were common: Adverse Events (Grade 3–4)

- . **Infections**: 76% (19/25)
- . **Hepatotoxicity**: 4% (1/25)
- . CRS (Cytokine Release Syndrome): 4% (1/25)
- . ICANS (Immune effector cell-associated neurotoxicity syndrome): 4% (1/25)
- . IEC-HS (Immune effector cell-associated hemophagocytic syndrome): 36% (9/25)

In exploratory analysis, persistent B-cell aplasia was observed in 76% (19/25) of patients at the last follow-up, suggesting ongoing CAR-T activity.

Table no 1: Summary of Results

Age (years)	Median: 22 (Range: 16–64)
Gender	Male: 75% (24/32), Female: 25% (8/32)
Previous Lines of Therapy	Median: 2 (Range: 1–5)
Blast % at Baseline	Median: 3% (Range: 0–96)
Blast % at Time of Infusion	Median: 2% (Range: 1–74)
Ph+ ALL	28% (9/32)
CR to Bridging (n=25 infused)	84% (21/25)
MRD Clearance (n=25 infused)	64% (16/25)
Toxicities (Post-Tali-cel Infusion)	
CRS (Grade 3/4)	4% (1/25)
ICANS (Grade 3/4)	4% (1/25)
IEC-HS (Grade 3/4)	36% (9/25)
Hepatotoxicity (3/4)	4% (1/25)
Infections	76% (19/25)
B-cell Aplasia	76% (19/25)

CONCLUSIONS

- •Inotuzumab-based regimens, including as salvage/bridging, were feasible before Tali-cel infusion.
- High CR and MRD clearance rates were observed pre- and postinfusion.
- Toxicities were manageable, with low rates of severe CRS/ICANS.
- •No patients proceeded to allo-SCT, yet outcomes at 6 months were favorable.
- •Further follow-up is needed to determine durability of responses and long-term safety

REFERENCES

- Jain H, Karulkar A, Kalra D, Ravikumar S, Shah S, Firfiray A *et al.* Talicabtagene autoleucel for relapsed or refractory B-cell malignancies: results from an openlabel, multicentre, phase 1/2 study. *Lancet Haematol* 2025; 12: e282–e293.
- 2 Rheingold SR, Ji L, Gore L, Xu X, Bhojwani D, Shah NN *et al.* Impact of Treatment with Inotuzumab Ozogamicin before or after Chimeric Antigen Receptor T-Cell Therapy in Children with Relapsed/Refractory Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia. *Blood* 2023; 142: 2876–2876.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to thank our valuable patients and the hematoncology team at Tata Memorial Hospital.

CONTACT INFORMATION

Dr. Anupa John anupajohn7@gmail.com

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR: Dr. Hasmukh Jain dr.hkjain@gmail.com